# FINAL REPORT OF THE PUBLIC OFFICERS COMPENSATION ADVISORY COMMISSION



Indiana Legislative Services Agency 200 W. Washington Street, Suite 301 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

September 1, 2004

# INDIANA LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL 2004

Speaker B. Patrick Bauer Senator Robert Garton

Chairman Vice-Chairman

South Bend Columbus

Representative Brian Bosma Senator Richard Young

Indianapolis Milltown

Representative Russell Stilwell Senator Becky Skillman

Boonville Bedford

Representative Dale Grubb Senator Joseph Harrison

Covington Attica

Representative Charlie Brown Senator Patricia Miller

Gary Indianapolis

Representative Scott Pelath Senator Thomas Wyss

Michigan City Fort Wayne

Representative Kathy Richardson Senator James Lewis

Noblesville Charlestown

Representative Richard Mangus Senator Earline Rogers

Lakeville Gary

Philip J. Sachtleben Executive Director Legislative Services Agency

### PUBLIC OFFICERS COMPENSATION ADVISORY COMMISSION

### **Membership Roster**

John L. Bartlett, Chairperson Indianapolis

Jon O'Bannon Floyd Knobs

Michael Browning Indianapolis

Lee Marchant Bloomington Mary Fink

Fort Wayne

Stephen A. Stitle Indianapolis

Jean Blackwell Columbus

David Link South Bend

Ian Rolland Fort Wayne

### <u>Staff</u>

Philip J. Sachtleben Attorney for the Commission

A copy of this report is available on the Internet. Reports, minutes, and notices are organized by committee. This report and other documents for this Committee can be accessed from the General Assembly Homepage at <a href="http://www.state.in.us/legislative/">http://www.state.in.us/legislative/</a>.

Pursuant to HEA 1401-2004, the Public Officers Compensation Advisory Commission met three times during July and August 2004. The Commission has received a significant amount of data relevant to its statutory charge to recommend salary amounts for 13 specified public offices in Indiana. These recommendations are made to the Legislative Council and the State Budget Committee on September 1, 2004.

### Required findings

IC 2-5-1.5-18(1) specifies that the Commission must make a finding concerning the most recent year that certain public officers received a salary increase. These findings are specified in Appendix A.

### Statutory maximum calculation

IC 2-5-1.5 specifies that the Commission may recommend increases ranging from zero to a maximum salary based on the growth in Indiana non-farm income since the office's last salary increase. The calculation used to arrive at each officer's maximum salary is specified in Appendix B.

### Special situation involving the Clerk of the Supreme Court

During its 2004 session the General Assembly passed and Governor Kernan signed PL 14-2004 that will change the Clerk of the Supreme Court from an elected position to an appointed position. This change will fully take effect at the beginning of 2007. Under the enabling legislation, the salary of the Clerk of the Supreme Court will be set by the Chief Justice. Accordingly, the Commission has agreed to recommend that the salary of the Clerk of the Supreme Court remain unchanged until the Chief Justice evaluates the Clerk's new responsibilities and fixes an appropriate salary.

### Information considered by the Commission

The Commission received information from its Chairman and the Legislative Services Agency relevant to the Commission's statutory duties. At the first and second meetings several Commissioners requested staff to gather particular data sets or types of information.

Much of the comparative information considered by the Commission covered all 50 states. Some of the information focused on the nine Midwestern states whose demographics and economies resemble each other in significant ways. Other information involved Indiana-only data. This information included the following:

(1) Annual salaries received by public officers National data

| (2)          | Cost of officer salary per constituent   | National data                  |
|--------------|--|--------------------------------|
| (3)          | Projected salary of Indiana officers if increased by raises received by state employees since 1974 | Indiana data                   |
| (4)          | Revenue, officer salary, sales, profits, and number of employees of Indiana's 25 largest           |                                |
| ( <b>5</b> ) | publically held companies  | Indiana data                   |
| (5)          | Salaries of public university presidents   | Indiana data                   |
| (6)          | Salaries of K-12 school corporation  | Indiana data                   |
| (7)          | superintendents  | Indiana data<br>National data  |
| (7)          | Salaries of Senior payroll directors   | Indiana data                   |
| (8)          | Partner profits of Indiana's largest law firms   | mqiana qata                    |
| (9)          | Salaries of new attorneys and summer associates in law firms                                       | Indiana data                   |
| (10)         | Salaries of federal officials  | Federal data                   |
| (10)<br>(11) | Gross state product  | National data                  |
| , ,          | ±  | National data                  |
| (12)         | Average wages  | National data                  |
| (13)         | State government expenditures  | National data                  |
| (14)         | Judicial appropriations as a percentage  | National data                  |
| (15)         | of total state budgets   | Midwestern data                |
| (15)         | Appropriations to fund state legislative branch  | Midwestern data                |
| (16)         | Trial court workloads vs. salary   |                                |
| (17)         | Legislative staff per constituent  | National data<br>National data |
| (18)         | Cost of living data  | National data                  |
| (19)         | Federal employee pay and per diem  | National data                  |
| (20)         | differentials by city  |                                |
| (20)         | Non-salary benefits of certain public officers   | Midwestern data                |
| (21)         | Professions of legislators   | Indiana data                   |
| (22)         | Financial loss of teacher-legislators during   | Indiana data                   |
| (22)         | session  | Indiana data                   |
| (23)         | Structure of salary commissions in other states  | National data                  |

## Salient factors and principles that guided the Commission's recommendations

After reviewing the relevant evidence presented, the Commission assigned special weight to the following factors:

- Principle 1: Indiana ranks well into the top quartile of states under the primary demographic and economic measures (population, gross state product).
- Principle 2: Indiana's wages in general rank near the average for the United States.
- Principle 3: By all relevant national measures, Indiana's judicial and legislative branches rank in the lowest quartile in terms of cost to taxpayers.
- Principle 4: By all relevant national measures, the salaries of Indiana's public officers rank significantly below the national average for similar offices around the country.
- Principle 5: Relative to other Indiana public employees holding positions with wide responsibility (university presidents, school superintendents) Indiana's state officers are very significantly underpaid.
- Principle 6: The cost of living in Indiana is about average, or a few per cent below average, by most measures. However, based on federal per diem standards, Indianapolis is a more expensive place to visit than other cities with moderate overall cost of living rates.
- Principle 7: Relative to every level of attorney in Indiana's private law firms, Indiana's judges and Attorney General are significantly underpaid.
- Principle 8: The Commission recognizes that Indiana faces economic and budgetary difficulties and it is important that state government work hard to limit the burden on taxpayers. However, the Commission also recognizes that the State of Indiana will require outstanding leadership in the years to come to address the multitude of issues that will confront us in the years to come. Balancing the interests of keeping the tax burden low with the need to attract talented individuals to public service requires the Commission to address the salary structure of our state officials.

### Conclusions

In light of its legal duties and within the limitations set forth in its enabling legislation, the Commission has determined that the salaries of most of the public officers need to be increased to about the average of their counterparts around the country. In order to compensate for the time lag between the national data collected and the probable time that any increase in Indiana would take effect, the Commission recommends adding 3.5% to the "current" national average for most offices, and 15% for

the judicial offices.

The span of control encompassed by our statewide elected officials is at least as wide as those in nearly every other state. The degree of difficulty in completing the tasks of these officials is largely directly proportional to Indiana's population. Our officials have at least as many areas of responsibility as those in other states.

Salaries for working Hoosiers rank about average for the 50 states. The Commission believes that average salaries for similar public offices in other states is an appropriate starting point for further consideration. However, the Commission believes that most people involved in public service are and should be motivated by many non-economic factors, so the top of the salary range is not appropriate, nor are absolute comparisons to the private sector.

Our recommendations for the judiciary are guided by several factors, but in the final analysis we are persuaded that the primary goal (the national average for corresponding officials in other states) should be determinative. We have added 15 per cent to the national average in consideration of the fact that our judiciary maintains a case load that is significantly higher than those of our surrounding states. Our decision to add to the national average is guided in part by the long time lag that has occurred since the last general judicial salary increase. Our judges currently receive supplements, and our recommendation assumes that those will remain unchanged.

Our recommendation for the General Assembly reflects primary weight being given to the principle that we believe its members should be compensated at a level to attract and retain a citizen legislature representing a wide variety of professions.

We are hopeful that by reducing the personal financial sacrifices made by our elected officials we will:

- (1) contribute to attracting and retaining exceptional talent in all branches of government; and
- (2) enunciate a positive statement of appreciation for those who serve their fellow citizens through public service.

### 2004 Recommendations of the Commission

Pursuant to IC 2-5-1.5, the Commission recommends the following salaries for the specified public officers:

Governor \$105,404\*

Lieutenant Governor \$84,323\*

Secretary of State \$79,775\*

Auditor of State \$82,692\*

Treasurer of State \$79,775\*

Attorney General \$95,972\*

Clerk of the

Supreme Court \$60,000 (no increase)

Superintendent of Public

Instruction \$95,972\*

Justice of the Supreme

Court \$143,195

Judge of the Court of

Appeals \$139,951

Tax court judge \$139,951

Circuit, superior, probate and

county court judge \$121,122\*

General Assembly \$30,015

(Note: "\*" denotes that the salary is the maximum recommendation permitted under IC 2-5-1.5.)

# Appendix A

Section I. The table below lists the Commission's findings on the last salary increases for each public officer.

| Office  | Start date of most recent salary increase | New salary | Public Law; statutory citation |
|---|---|------------|--------------------------------|
| Governor  | Jan. 8, 2001                              | \$95,000   | PL 122-1998; IC 4-2-1-1        |
| Lieutenant Governor                               | Jan. 8, 2001                              | \$76,000   | PL 122-1998; IC 4-2-1-1        |
| Secretary of State                                | Jan. 1, 1999                              | \$66,000   | PL 122-1998; IC 4-2-1-1        |
| Auditor of State                                  | Dec. 1, 1998                              | \$66,000   | PL 122-1998; IC 4-2-1-1        |
| Treasurer of State                                | Feb. 10, 1999                             | \$66,000   | PL 122-1998; IC 4-2-1-1        |
| Attorney General                                  | Jan. 1, 1999                              | \$79,400   | PL 122-1998; IC 4-2-1-1        |
| Clerk of the<br>Supreme Court                     | Jan. 1, 1999                              | \$60,000   | PL 122-1998; IC 4-2-1-1        |
| Superintendent of Public Instruction              | Jan. 1, 1999                              | \$79,400   | PL 122-1998; IC 4-2-1-1        |
| Justice of the Supreme<br>Court                   | Aug. 1, 1997                              | \$115,000  | PL 280-1995;IC 33-13-12-9      |
| Judge of the Court of<br>Appeals                  | Aug. 1, 1997                              | \$110,000  | PL 280-1995;IC 33-13-12-9      |
| Tax court judge                                   | Aug. 1, 1997                              | \$110,000  | PL 280-1995;                   |
| Circuit, superior, probate and county court judge | Aug. 1, 1997                              | \$90,000   | PL 280-1995;IC 33-13-12-7.1    |
| General Assembly                                  | Jan. 14, 1985                             | \$11,600   | PL 2-1984; IC 2-3-1-1          |

### Appendix B

Section I. The basis for the maximum allowable salary recommendations listed in Section II were calculated using the table below which represents the growth in Indiana non-farm income from 1985 forward. The 19 year range represents the longest time period without a salary increase starting with the General Assembly's last increase on Jan. 14, 1985. (2004 numbers are estimates from the Economic Forecast Committee) (Amounts are in millions of dollars.)

| <u>Year</u> | Indiana Non-Farm Income | <b>Growth</b> |
|-------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| 1985        | 71,176.8                | 5.8%          |
| 1986        | 74,924.5                | 5.3%          |
| 1987        | 79,620.0                | 6.3%          |
| 1988        | 85,606.0                | 7.5%          |
| 1989        | 91,798.0                | 7.2%          |
| 1990        | 97,195.8                | 5.9%          |
| 1991        | 101,032.8               | 3.9%          |
| 1992        | 108,264.0               | 7.2%          |
| 1993        | 113,978.5               | 5.3%          |
| 1994        | 120,927.3               | 6.1%          |
| 1995        | 126,305.3               | 4.4%          |
| 1996        | 131,910.0               | 4.4%          |
| 1997        | 138,469.8               | 5.0%          |
| 1998        | 148,738.3               | 7.4%          |
| 1999        | 154,175.5               | 3.7%          |
| 2000        | 164,041.5               | 6.4%          |
| 2001        | 167,960.3               | 2.4%          |
| 2002        | 173,677.0               | 3.4%          |
| 2003        | 178,694.8               | 2.89%         |
| 2004        | 186,354.5               | 4.29%         |
|             |                         |               |

Section II. Using the year of each public officer's last salary increase as a base, the maximum salaries the commission may recommend for each official are as follows:

| Office  | Maximum allowable salary recommendation |
|---|---|
| Governor  | \$105, 404                              |
| Lieutenant Governor                               | \$84,323                                |
| Secretary of State                                | \$79,775                                |
| Auditor of State                                  | \$82,692                                |
| Treasurer of State                                | \$79,775                                |
| Attorney General                                  | \$95,972                                |
| Clerk of the<br>Supreme Court                     | (see paragraph four of this report)     |
| Superintendent of Public Instruction              | \$95,972                                |
| Justice of the Supreme<br>Court                   | \$154,767                               |
| Judge of the Court of<br>Appeals                  | \$148,038                               |
| Tax court judge                                   | \$148,038                               |
| Circuit, superior, probate and county court judge | \$121,122                               |
| General Assembly                                  | \$30,371                                |